A domain wall solution in the D3-D7 model with magnetic catalysis

Shuta Ishigaki (Shanghai U.)

Aug. 22, 2024 @ Da Nang

Collaboration with Masataka Matsumoto (SJTU) and Ryosuke Yoshii (Sanyo-Onoda City U.), JHEP 2024, 274 (2024), arXiv:2402.04657 [hep-th] Introduction: Inhomogeneous condensates

Inhomogeneous superconducting states (FFLO state) Fulde, Ferrell (1964), Larkin, Ovchinikov (1964)

Inhomogeneous chiral condensates

Review: Buballa, Carignano (2014)

In holography...

Ex) single kink (dark soliton)

Keranen, Keski-Vakkuri, Nowling, Yogendran (2010)



Vortex, (complex) multi-kinks, ... and many applications!

This work: single kink in a top-down holographic model (D3/D7 model)

AdS/CFT correspondence



Probe brane model: D3/D7 model



Probe brane model: D3/D7 model

General equations of motion (w/o WZ term):

$$0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} (\sqrt{-\gamma} s^{ab} g_{MN} X^{N}{}_{,b}){}_{,a} - \frac{1}{2} s^{ab} g_{PQ,M} X^{P}{}_{,a} X^{Q}{}_{,b}$$

$$0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} (\sqrt{-\gamma} g^{ac} F_{cd} s^{db}){}_{,a}$$

$$(2\pi \alpha' = 1)$$

$$\gamma_{ab} = g_{ab} + F_{ab}$$

$$s_{ab} = g_{ab} - F_{ac} g^{cd} F_{gb}$$

$$g_{ab} = g_{MN} X^{M}{}_{,a} X^{N}{}_{,b}$$

The system is highly non-linear.

Dual operators:

$$\begin{split} X^m &= \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrows \begin{pmatrix} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \\ i \langle \bar{q}\gamma^5 q \rangle \end{pmatrix} & \text{quark condensate} \\ A_\mu &\leftrightarrows \langle \bar{q}\gamma^\mu q \rangle & \text{current density} \end{split}$$

	t	\vec{x}	u	Ω_3	θ	φ
M, N, \cdots	\checkmark	$(\checkmark)^3$	\checkmark	$(\checkmark)^3$	\checkmark	\checkmark
a, b, \cdots	\checkmark	$(\checkmark)^3$	\checkmark	$(\checkmark)^3$		
m, n, \cdots					\checkmark	\checkmark
μ, u, \cdots	\checkmark	$(\checkmark)^3$				



Chiral symmetry breaking in D3/D7



chiral symmetry broken

• Non-trivial solution : $\theta(u) \neq 0 \rightarrow \langle \overline{q}q \rangle \sim c \neq 0$

Chiral symmetry breaking in D3/D7

Bulk picture:



no dissipation / chiral symmetry broken (xSB)



Phase diagram for homogeneous solutions Evans, Gebauer, Kim, Magou (2010)

Related topic: Nambu-Goldstone modes

A fluctuation of φ corresponds to the NG modes in the SSB.



SI, M. Matsumoto (2021) <u>arXiv:2012.01177</u> [hep-th]

Main differences from holographic superfluid

- 1. Dual description is clear: $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM + $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplets → broken symmetry is chiral U(1) (, not gauge symmetry)
- 2. External magnetic field is necessary for χ SB.
 - called "magnetic catalysis"
- 3. Both of 1st and 2nd order phase transitions appear.

Inhomogeneous solutions

Inhomogeneous solution with χSB

- Consider spatially dependent solution on x.
- Spatial modulation perpendicular to magnetic field B
- Ansatz:

$$\theta(u, x), A_t(u, x), A_y(u, x)$$

implying non-trivial current density is induced

Typical solutions



arXiv:2402.04657 [hep-th]

Chiral edge current

Magnetic field induces a chiral edge current



Fitting with Ginzburg-Landau theory

A domain wall solution in GL theory

Ginzburg-Landau theory describes the second order phase transition.

Effective thermodynamic potential from the 4th order GL theory

$$\Omega[\psi] = \int \mathrm{d}^3x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\vec{\nabla}\psi|^2 + \frac{r_0}{2} |\psi|^2 + \frac{g}{4} |\psi|^4 + V_0 \right\}$$

Stationary equation:

$$\frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta\psi^*} = -\frac{1}{2}\vec{\nabla}^2\psi + \frac{1}{2}r_0\psi + \frac{1}{2}g\psi|\psi|^2 = 0$$

Inhomogeneous solution:

$$\psi(x) = \Delta \tanh\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)$$

Let's compare this solution with our results!



In holographic superfluid:

[V. Keranen, E. Keski-Vakkuri, S. Nowling, K. P. Yogendran (2009)]

Near 2nd order PT point $(\frac{\pi T}{\sqrt{2B}} \approx 0.071)$

GL theory's solution: $\psi(x) = \Delta \tanh\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)$

assumption: $ho(x) \sim c(x)^2$ fitting parameters: $\xi_c, \ \xi_{
ho}, \
ho_0$

fitting functions:
$$c(x) = c_{\text{homo}} \tanh\left(\frac{x}{\xi_c}\right), \quad \rho(x) = \rho_{\text{homo}} - (\rho_{\text{homo}} - \rho_0) \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{x}{\xi_{\rho}}\right)$$
 (solid curves)

Profiles of order parameter and charge density



The results are well-fitted!

A domain wall solution in 6th order GL theory

 $\psi(x)$

Near the 1st order PT, the fitting gets fails.➡ We need 6th order GL theory.

Effective thermodynamic potential

$$\Omega[\psi] = \int d^3x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\vec{\nabla}\psi|^2 + V_0 + \frac{1}{2\xi^2 \lambda^4 (1+\epsilon^2)} \left(|\psi|^2 + \epsilon^2 \lambda^2 \right) \left(|\psi|^2 - \lambda^2 \right)^2 \right\}$$

Inhomogeneous solution: Bound pair solution

$$\psi(x) = \lambda \frac{\sinh(x/\xi)}{\sqrt{1 + \epsilon^{-2} + \sinh^2(x/\xi)}}$$



Near 1st order PT point ($\frac{\pi T}{\sqrt{2B}} = 0.22$)

GL theory's solution:
$$\psi(x) = \lambda \frac{\sinh(x/\xi)}{\sqrt{1 + \epsilon^{-2} + \sinh^2(x/\xi)}}$$

$$c(x) = c_{\text{homo}} \frac{\sinh(x/\xi_c)}{\sqrt{1 + \epsilon_c^{-2} + \sinh^2(x/\xi_c)}}, \quad \rho(x) = \rho_0 - (\rho_0 - \rho_{\text{homo}}) \frac{\sinh^2(x/\xi_\rho)}{1 + \epsilon_\rho^{-2} + \sinh^2(x/\xi_\rho)} \quad \text{(solid curves)}$$

 $\xi_{c,\rho}, \epsilon_{c,\rho}, \rho_0$

fitting parameters:

Profiles of order parameter and charge density



The results are well-fitted!

Behaviors of the fitting parameters







Thermodynamic stability

$$\Omega_{\rm TP}/T = -S^E|_{\rm onshell} \qquad \Delta\Omega_{\rm TP} =$$

 $\Omega_{\rm TP}^{\rm kink} - \Omega_{\rm TP}^{\chi \rm SB}$



The total energy always higher than the homogenous states. However, the kink states may be realized during a thermalization process.

Summary

- Single kink solutions accompanied with chiral edge currents in a top-down D3/D7 model
- □ Well-described by Ginzburg-Landau effective theory
 - 2nd order PT ↔ 4th order GL
 - 1st order PT ↔ 6th order GL
- Outlook
- □ Other solutions: Vortex, complex kink, ...
- □ Nambu-Goldstone modes associated with translation SB
- □ Drive system to steady state

Ex. Vortex?

To avoid a singular behavior, a radially symmetric order parameter must behaves as

$$\psi \sim \lambda(r) e^{in\varphi} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} r \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

The GL theory admits such a solution.



The D3-D7 model probably has a similar solution, but we have not obtained.